

Early Years and Extended Services

Appendix 2

Draft Consultation Paper
September 2008

Early Years Funding Reform For The Free Entitlement to Early Education

1. Introduction

The Government announced in June 2007 that Local Authorities (LAs) will be required to use a single local formula for funding early years provision in the maintained and PVI sectors from 2010-11. The Government is encouraging LAs to introduce the formula from April 2009 wherever possible. This is intended to support the extension of the free entitlement for 3 & 4 year olds and to address inconsistencies in how the offer is currently funded across the maintained and Private, Voluntary and Independent (PVI) sectors.

- 1.1 Decisions about funding for maintained and PVI providers needs to be transparent and based on the same factors. Funding levels and funding methodologies do not have to be exactly the same for all providers, but any differences must be justifiable and demonstrable.
- 1.2 Nationally six LAs were identified to work as part of the Formula Development Project. These LAs are accelerating progress to implement a single formula in April 2009 to provide good practice guidance for other LAs. Herefordshire was not identified as one of the six but this project is intended to prepare the LA for full implementation in the financial year 2010/11. In order to achieve this it is proposed that changes will commence from September 2009 within the school sector, in order to phase it in.
- 1.3 The single formula is likely to be different in each LA, to reflect the very different local circumstances of each. LAs have the same flexibility here as they do on school funding. However it is clear that every formula should be developed according to a common set of principles. The principles below have been agreed with the Formula Development Project LAs as a basis for their work.

The single formula should:

- 1.3.1 Support effective and efficient distribution of resources at a local level, while aiming to be as simple as possible
- 1.3.2 Promote diversity and choice so that parents are able to access the free entitlement more flexibly
- 1.3.3 Promote a high quality of provision in all settings
- 1.3.4 Be based on common information from both the PVI and maintained sectors, taking into account all costs and sources of income

- 1.3.5 Take into account the same factors when deciding the level of funding for each sector, ensuring that decisions are transparent and differences between sectors are justifiable and demonstrable
 - 1.3.6 Take into account the sustainability of the market and the statutory duty on the LA to provide sufficient childcare and consider the need for stability in both sectors
 - 1.3.7 Avoid perverse incentives
 - 1.3.8 Fund settings on the basis of participation, not places. Any exceptional use of place-led funding should be based on clearly defined local imperatives
 - 1.3.9 Enable transition from the current to the future funding mechanism to be planned and managed carefully, and based on a clear impact assessment.
- 1.4 From 2009-10 all LAs will be required to introduce consistent pupil counting between the maintained and PVI sectors. Changing the count from place-led to mainly participation-led funding in both sectors will need to be carefully managed, subject to an impact assessment and appropriate transition and protection mechanisms.
- 1.5 In the short term LAs will need to carry out an analysis of PVI costs in their area and present this to their schools forum and relevant sub-group.
- 1.6 There are also a number of changes aimed at improving the representation of PVI providers on Schools Forum so that the views of all sectors are taken into account.
- 1.6.1 Schools Forums' membership will be broadened to include non-school members from the early years sector so that they can play a full part in discussions on the development of the funding arrangements
 - 1.6.2 LAs are also expected to establish an early years provider reference group, which will act as a sub-group to the Schools Forum feeding in views on the implementation of the new early years funding arrangements

2. Schools Forums' Membership Broadened

Nominations were requested from PVI providers to sit on the Schools Forum and two people from Herefordshire Early Years settings – Rose Lloyd (Bridges childcare) and Alison Jackson (St Michael's Nursery) now sit as members.

3. Early Years Provider Reference Group

In order for these two members representing Early Years to be fully informed and represent the views of this sector; a working sub group was put together called the Early Years Funding Group. This group have met twice since January 2008 and will be considering this paper at its next meeting in July 2008 prior to submitting proposals to Schools Forum in the Autumn 2008.

4. Cost Analysis

For the first part of the exercise it was expected that LAs carry out an analysis of the costs of delivering the free entitlement to nursery education in the PVI sector. In Herefordshire this was initially carried out as a 'face to face' exercise in order to ensure that settings understand what was being asked of them and to collect as accurate data as possible. Prior to this exercise the annual childcare sufficiency audit had been carried out and one of the questions had asked whether the current amount of NEF

met the costs of delivering the free entitlement. The responses divided almost equally between Yes and No. It was decided to collect data from 10 providers; five who had answered yes and five who had answered no.

- 4.1 A questionnaire (see appendix A) identified a list of costings as suggested in a report in August 2007 by the DCSF, Pre School Learning Alliance and the NDNA. Although the accuracy of the data was more reliable this method was very time consuming. We surveyed 8 settings plus information from 13 LA Nurseries but it was felt that this was insufficient to draw any informed conclusions.
- 4.2 At the first meeting of the Early Years Funding Group in January 2008, it was decided that a letter and questionnaire (see appendix B) be sent to all PVI settings. Some private providers refused to take part in the survey on the grounds that they did not want to release sensitive and private financial information. From this activity we manage to secure another 33 responses making a total of 41 which is 40% of the PVI settings (see appendix C). This sample is properly reflective of the mix of settings. However, the results varied hugely and we could not identify any particular pattern or draw any reliable conclusions. Settings currently receive £8.72 and 22 settings acknowledged that the actual cost to them was up to 20% lower or 20% higher than this figure. We are not sure how they interpreted the questions, whether they were truthful answers or if they had included all the relevant costs. It should also be remembered that this was a snapshot in January. Occupancy rates and therefore staffing costs do fluctuate throughout the year and if this exercise had been conducted in September we would have seen a different picture.
- 4.3 The DfES commissioned HEDRA Consulting to examine and report on the costs of delivering the free early education entitlement. The report noted several anomalies:
 - 4.3.1 The reports main findings relate to average costs because it is not possible to isolate the costs of delivery for 3 and 4 year olds from other age groups.
 - 4.3.2 The supplier market for childcare is highly fragmented and highly differentiated. There are relatively few large providers. As a general observation, this is a market dominated by small providers.
 - 4.3.3 Many of these providers appear to be relatively unsophisticated in financial terms and are not motivated to be highly profitable. They tend to price on the basis of what the local market will bear and with a view to either breaking even or making a small overall return.
 - 4.3.4 The costs of the different settings (full day care and sessional) are likely to be significantly different and so too the perception of the provider of the level of funding provided through the Free Early Years Entitlement.

These points were made as it is easy to talk about the PVI sector in particular as if it was homogenous and therefore draw conclusions which apply to every provider of every type. This is decidedly not the case and all of the findings and conclusions should be seen in this light. In Herefordshire looking at our findings, this statement certainly rings true.

5. Early Years Funding Group – 30 January 2008

At this meeting Ros Hatherill, Manager Early Years & Extended Services, set the scene and explained that the group had been formed in order to discuss and comment on the proposed new funding formula for Nursery Education Funding, with a view to the two new Early Years representatives taking the findings and proposals to Schools Forum.

- 5.1 The meeting discussed that the results from the 8 settings so far was not enough to draw any accurate conclusions and that the consultation should be extended. Based on statistical analysis of the 8 PVI settings and 13 LA nurseries, Malcolm Green, Finance Manager, proposed a possible formula - £105 per week plus £7.50 per session (per child) and asked that the group consider how it is taken forward and the implications of implementing it as it is obvious that with any new formula there would be some providers who would gain and some who would lose. It was agreed that the new formula would be run against a selection of nurseries to gauge its impact.
- 5.2 The differences between maintained and PVI nurseries were discussed and how again there would be winners and losers. It is clear that LA nursery classes that are not fully occupied would be financially worse off under any new pupil led formula.
- 5.3 Many of the providers were concerned about the impact the new formula would have on their longer term sustainability.
- 5.4 Social and rural deprivation were also raised as factors which should be taken into consideration. It was also agreed that we would look at what formula's other LA's were intending to use.

6. Early Years Funding Group – 29 May 2008

At this meeting clarification was sought on the savings that Schools Forum has to make and whether NEF would be reduced. It was confirmed that there is no intention to transfer any money from Early Years to Schools within this financial year or the next. Social deprivation was discussed and noted that this will take into account the 30% deprived areas of Leominster and South Wye. DCSF have stipulated that all local authorities must allocate money towards socially deprived children – Herefordshire being set at 7.69% allocation, based on the free school meals percentage. Rural deprivation will not be a factor. Special needs funding will continue to be funded separately.

7. Proposed formula

Malcolm Green proposed the following formula which was accepted by the working party as the basis for further consultation with schools and PVI providers.

- 7.1.1 £105 per week plus £7.50 per session. Three example settings – large nursery, a small pre school and a childminder were run against this formula. Malcolm had calculated that the break-even point is 86 sessions a week. Those who delivered more would be worse off whilst small settings would benefit.

8. Social Deprivation

The deprivation will be assessed on the child not the setting using home postcodes and will be based on Grade 5 IDACI (Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index). It was considered that we could extend this to Grade 4 in order to include more children, perhaps at half rate of Grade 5. However this will further reduce the basic formula.

- 8.1 Malcolm Green distributed at the meeting, information on Nursery Funding for 2008/09 incorporating a proposed deprivation allocation (see appendix D).

The main points for this proposal are:

- 8.1.1 7.69% would be deducted from total nursery funding to create a distinct social deprivation fund

- 8.1.2 Using the IDACI ranking, the amount per pupil/child accessing NEF per session in Herefordshire was estimated at £3.97
 - 8.1.3 A setting which has a child from a social deprivation area would receive standard NEF session amount plus an additional £3.97
 - 8.1.4 Standard allocation of funding per NEF session using proposed funding formula - £105 per week plus £7.50 per session would be reduced for all settings to £97 per week and £6.90 per session.
 - 8.1.5 The introduction of a social deprivation fund could be phased in over 3 to 5 years in order to minimise the affect on settings.
- 8.2 Herefordshire has liaised with North Somerset Council who have already introduced a new scheme and have stipulated that the additional funding that settings receive from a social deprivation fund, is used to reduce staffing ratios. This is broadly equivalent to an extra 50% funding for nurseries in highly socially deprived areas. The meeting as a whole queried this as extra staff does not necessarily mean better quality. We need to consider how this money could be equitably spent.
- 8.3 A question remains as to what we do about those families who are deprived but don't live in a socially deprived area. Malcolm Green suggested that we could consider extending the use of the IDACI from Grade 5 to Grade 4 and therefore including more children. For example, children who fall within the IDACI Grade 4 category could be funded at half the £3.97 supplement suggested for children within IDACI Grade 5 category (this will further reduce the amount quoted above in the formula). There was also a concern expressed that there will be children in a socially deprived area who don't actually need additional funding.

9. Childminders and Small Nurseries

Under the proposed new formula, childminders providing NEF would receive £105 (£97) per week plus the sessional amount. It was considered how fair this is bearing in mind the low numbers that they have. After some discussion it was agreed that childminders and nurseries who deliver 40 or less NEF sessions a week would receive a percentage of the lump sum, i.e. 5 weekly sessions would receive 12.5% of the flat rate i.e. 5/40th.

10. Maintained Nurseries

In order to bring maintained nurseries into line with the PVI sector it is proposed that they be funded on the basis of participation, not places and that this be implemented from September 2009, i.e. the beginning of the new academic year. This is a key implementation phase as it provides LA nurseries with the opportunity to revise their nursery offer from September 2009 and hence avoid the possibility of an uneconomic setting in the Summer Term 2010 when the full single early years formula is introduced.

11. Implementation Plan

Basic Principles

The principles of the formula are to take the Nursery Education Funding and divide it between each child, taking account of social disadvantage. These principles translate into the specific figures below. The implementation plan has the following elements

Firstly, 7.69% would be deducted from total nursery funding to create a distinct social deprivation fund

A setting which has a child from a social deprivation postcoded area would receive standard NEF session amount plus an additional amount for social deprivation.

All elements of Herefordshire Council's formula have to comply with DCSF funding regulations which we have not yet been consulted upon. Expect some requirements on linking base allowance to sustainability.

Funding Formula

New single formula of £97 per week plus £6.90 per session (per child) plus £3.97 per socially deprived child (IDACI 5 children).

Using the IDACI ranking, the amount per pupil/child accessing NEF per session in Herefordshire was estimated at £3.97

Childminders and small settings to receive 1/40th of fixed sum (up to 40 sessions) plus an amount each child.

For LA nursery classes, phase in funding from September 2009 on the basis of participation rather than places.

Options

Protection -

Phase in new formula by either:

- a) all new formula from April 2009
- b) phase in over 2 or 3 years:
 - 1st year – 2/3 of NEF paid on current method and 1/3 on new formula
 - 2nd year – 1/3 current NEF method and 2/3 new formula.

Phasing -

11.10 The introduction of a social deprivation fund could be phased in over 3 years in order to minimise the affect on settings, i.e. phase in 2.5% deduction a year over 3 years. Choice of:

- a) all fund introduced by April 2009
- b) phase in over 3 years

Widening Deprivation Payments -

11.11 Extend To IDACI Grade 4 at half the rate of Grade 5.

Rules and Conditions -

11.12 Consider how social deprivation fund is spent and agree terms and conditions; i.e. ensure money is spent on children rather than making profits for the setting.

Payment Process

11.13 Average out NEF payments over a rolling 12 month period and update termly. Payment made in the summer term is based on the last 12 months, Autumn – last 12 months including summer's actuals etc. Make payments monthly as 12 equal instalments.

11.14 Minimise paperwork as current amount is excessive.

Trial

- 11.15 Identify and arrange for a large setting (over 40 sessions), a small setting (under 40 sessions) and a childminder (accredited) to pilot the new formula from September. If they are better off they could keep the difference and if they are worse off then the difference would be made up.

12. Recommendation

That the Early Years Working Group considers the above implementation plan and approves the proposals so that detailed modelling can be undertaken prior to draft proposals being put to Schools Forum to permit a wider consultation with schools and PVI settings prior to April 2009. The agreed proposals will be trialled in a handful of settings during Summer 2009 and Autumn 2010 prior to Schools Forum considering final proposals in Autumn 2009 for implementation from April 2010.

Clare Williams
Finance and Local Business Development Officer
12th September 2008

DRAFT

Appendix A

Analysing the costs of delivering the free entitlement to nursery education

Date of Analysis:

Name of Setting:

Item	Costs per week
Staffing Costs	
Administration and Management Costs	
Premises costs (rent and rates)	
Heat/Lighting	
Equipment and materials	
Insurance/Legal costs	
Contingency/reserves	
Registration Fees (eg Ofsted)	
Training (incl staff cover)	
Outreach/Marketing	
Telephone/Internet	
Refreshments/Food	
Consumables (eg cleaning, stationery)	
Maintenance	
Travel/transport (eg visits)	
Total	0

No of Sessions -					
NEF children in each session	M	T	W	Th	F
Am					
Pm					

Non NEF Children	M	T	W	Th	F
Am					
Pm					

Opening Hours:

Fees:

How many weeks open per year:

Office Use Only	<input style="width: 96px; height: 18px;" type="text"/>
Social Deprivation Factor	<input style="width: 96px; height: 18px;" type="text"/>

Analysing the costs of delivering the free entitlement to nursery education

Date of Analysis:

Name of Setting:	Postcode:
------------------	-----------

If you wish to remain anonymous, please do not enter the name of the setting but please put in your postcode as we may need to factor in an amount for social deprivation

Total Expenditure for a week in January	£ <input type="text"/>
---	------------------------

This figure should include:
salaries, admin costs, premises costs (rent, rates, electricity etc), materials, equipment, marketing, telephone, refreshments, cleaning, stationery, transport, registration fees, insurance)

Calculation of Sessions	
Number of NEF funded sessions in a week in January (1 session = 1 child for 2 and a half hours)	<input type="text"/>
Total number of Non NEF funded hours during a week in January. (This is the total number of hours in a week which children attend but are not NEF funded)	<input type="text"/>

Opening Hours:

How many weeks open per year:

Office Use Only	<input type="text"/>
Social Deprivation Factor	<input type="text"/>

Fees:

If you have any questions about how to complete the form, please contact Clare Williams on 01432 383492 or 07792881139

Appendix C

NEF ANALYSIS - PVI SETTINGS

	No of NEF sessions per week	No of non NEF sessions per week	Total Weekly Sessions	Total Expenditure per week	NEF Funding Rec'd per session	Average per session in total
1	92	35	127	1152	8.72	9.07
2	190	23	213	2222	8.72	10.43
3	170	155	325	3564	8.72	10.97
4	108	69	177	2264	8.72	12.79
5	53	2	55	834	8.72	15.16
6	23	2	25	561	8.72	22.44
7	67	230	297	2994	8.72	10.08
8	149	473	622	4405	8.72	7.08
Total 1st Survey						
	852	989	1841	17996	8.72	9.78
9	76	60	136	1015	8.72	7.46
10	128	12	140	750	8.72	5.36
11	68	55	123	818	8.72	6.65
12	123	13	136	885	8.72	6.51
13	93	15	108	946	8.72	8.76
14	77	17	94	819	8.72	8.71
15	101	67	168	1167	8.72	6.95
16	39	1	40	331	8.72	8.28
18	29	20	49	1284	8.72	26.2
19	120	347	467	4133	8.72	8.85
20	30	8	38	379	8.72	9.97
21	54	3	57	700	8.72	12.28
22	75	3	78	596	8.72	7.64
23	78	50	128	1505	8.72	11.76
24	85	13	98	865	8.72	8.83
25	163	32	195	505	8.72	2.59
26	110	49	159	930	8.72	5.85
27	86	14	100	1002	8.72	10.02
28	80	78	158	1483	8.72	9.39
29	64	60	124	900	8.72	7.26
30	16	117	133	1300	8.72	9.77
31	13	57	70	1000	8.72	14.29
32	99	80	179	1490	8.72	8.32
33	29	20	49	418	8.72	8.53
34	132	64	196	1702	8.72	8.68
35	121	155	276	4462	8.72	16.17
36	46	33	79	600	8.72	7.59
37	54	21	75	413	8.72	5.51
38	62	6	68	364	8.72	5.35
39	301	82	383	3500	8.72	9.14
40	49	83	132	700	8.72	5.3
41	30	99	129	1676	8.72	12.99
Total 2nd Survey						
	2631	1734	4365	38638	279.04	8.85
Grand Total						
	3483	2723	6206	56634	287.76	9.13

Nursery Funding 08/09

PVI Nurseries	£2,898,000
School Nursery Classes	£683,000
	<u>£3,581,000</u>

Deprivation share of DSG in 7.69% based on free meals %

So: deprivation funding	275,379
Nursery formula	<u>3,305,621</u>
	3,581,000

Nursery of IDACI (grade 5) pupils in primary school is 1536 over 7 years groups so 219 per year

219 per year is equivalent to $\frac{219 \times 5 \text{ for nurseries}}{3} = 365$

So amount per deprived pupil
 = £275,379 ÷ 365 ÷ 38 weeks ÷ 5 sessions
= £3.97 per session

Nursery Funding	4 year olds £761,080	35%
	3 year olds £2,136,920	100%

(more accurate to do $275379 \div 219 \div 1.35 \div 38 \div 5 = £4.90$ per session)

Setting	Summer 2007 - Spring 2008 (37 weeks)												Actual gain	Actual loss	Average monthly funding
	Full occupancy annual sessions	Actual annual sessions claimed / attended	Actual annual funding received	Comparator funding			Proposed funding								
				Annual amount excluding deprivation	IDAC1 setting proportion (average IDAC1 value)	Annual deprivation amount	Annual amount including deprivation (£96+£6.89 + £3.92)	Annual IDAC1 sessions proportion (IDAC1 sessions)	Annual deprivation amount	Annual amount including deprivation (£36+£6.89 + £3.92)					
The Downs School	-	4773	£46,224.72	£48,367.50	0.07	£1,447.01	£45,365.81	327.58	£1,427.11	£45,345.91	0	£0.00	£-878.81	£3,778.83	
The Elms School	-	2288	£21,346.56	£23,919.75	0.09	£851.51	£22,581.11	202.82	£852.80	£22,582.40	1	£1,235.84	£0.00	£1,881.87	
The Farm Childcare Group (Best Be 4)	-	3349	£32,935.44	£35,467.50	0.10	£1,833.50	£34,045.10	416.19	£1,844.28	£34,055.88	1	£1,120.44	£0.00	£2,837.99	
The Farm Childcare Group (Cut and Paste Nursery)	-	2916	£28,845.76	£29,939.25	0.15	£2,599.79	£29,783.79	596.90	£2,652.32	£29,836.32	1	£990.56	£0.00	£2,486.36	
The Farm Childcare Group (Step by Step)	-	819	£7,141.68	£8,190.00	0.03	£316.12	£7,757.32	81.69	£320.24	£7,761.44	1	£619.76	£0.00	£646.79	
The Red House Nursery School	-	1767	£17,152.24	£19,732.13	0.08	£715.58	£18,643.98	159.22	£686.51	£18,614.91	1	£1,462.67	£0.00	£1,551.24	
The Townsend Nursery	-	1587	£15,547.76	£17,869.13	0.07	£611.38	£16,846.98	140.39	£615.81	£16,851.41	1	£1,303.65	£0.00	£1,404.28	
The Wye Nursery School	-	4612	£44,995.20	£45,015.75	0.17	£4,231.82	£45,094.22	946.45	£4,121.55	£44,983.95	0	£0.00	£-111.25	£3,748.66	
Tiblands Nursery School	-	3805	£36,388.56	£37,886.25	0.11	£2,035.72	£36,436.12	470.06	£2,005.87	£36,406.27	1	£17.71	£0.00	£3,033.86	
Triggys Day Nursery	-	877	£8,379.92	£9,730.13	0.10	£517.03	£9,358.23	124.09	£514.81	£9,356.01	1	£976.09	£0.00	£779.67	
Trinity Teds Playgroup	-	845	£7,368.40	£8,555.63	0.04	£412.29	£8,186.29	106.89	£419.00	£8,193.00	1	£824.60	£0.00	£682.75	
Trinity Treetots	-	5515	£53,497.20	£53,152.50	0.11	£3,277.07	£51,523.07	749.48	£3,255.53	£51,501.53	0	£0.00	£-1,995.67	£4,291.79	
Walford Pre-School Playgroup	-	4043	£39,510.32	£40,986.00	0.08	£1,819.27	£39,033.27	407.03	£1,799.33	£39,013.33	0	£0.00	£-496.99	£3,251.11	
Wellington (Busy Bees) Playgroup	-	1292	£12,312.64	£14,296.50	0.08	£498.77	£13,489.17	114.04	£489.24	£13,479.64	1	£1,167.00	£0.00	£1,123.30	
Weobley Share Nursery	-	195	£1,700.40	£1,974.38	0.07	£152.51	£1,946.51	38.91	£152.51	£1,946.51	1	£246.11	£0.00	£162.21	
Weston-Under-Penyard Playgroup	-	1792	£17,230.72	£19,938.75	0.11	£1,040.66	£19,157.46	254.29	£1,077.34	£19,194.14	1	£1,963.42	£0.00	£1,599.51	
Whitchurch Whizzkids Pre-School Playgroup	-	2254	£22,096.48	£25,436.25	0.10	£1,055.37	£24,166.57	217.79	£971.71	£24,082.91	1	£1,986.43	£0.00	£2,006.91	
Whitcross Day Nursery & Creche	-	8040	£79,142.72	£75,210.00	0.11	£4,770.64	£73,015.44	1109.32	£4,887.92	£73,132.72	0	£0.00	£-6,010.00	£6,094.39	
Whitney Crocodiles Pre-School	-	2054	£20,143.20	£22,396.50	0.11	£1,219.71	£21,564.51	155.35	£674.78	£21,019.58	1	£876.38	£0.00	£1,751.63	
Wigmore Pre-School Group	-	2761	£26,691.92	£29,399.25	0.12	£1,776.02	£28,480.42	413.74	£1,799.69	£28,504.09	1	£1,812.17	£0.00	£2,375.34	
Bromyard, St Peters Primary	6500	4260	£46,363.00	£35,835.00	0.20	£3,338.34	£35,858.34	851.62	£3,338.34	£35,858.34	0	£0.00	£-10,504.66	£2,988.20	
Hereford, Broadlands Primary	9620	5105	£65,442.00	£42,172.50	0.19	£3,884.52	£42,150.52	968.72	£3,797.39	£42,063.39	0	£0.00	£-23,378.61	£3,505.28	
Hereford, Lord Scudamore Primary	9620	9155	£65,442.00	£72,547.50	0.20	£7,297.52	£73,103.52	1861.61	£7,297.52	£73,103.52	1	£7,661.52	£0.00	£6,091.96	
Hereford, Martbrook Primary	9620	9065	£65,442.00	£71,872.50	0.28	£10,120.10	£75,314.10	2681.66	£10,120.10	£75,314.10	1	£9,872.10	£0.00	£6,276.18	
Hereford, St Martins Primary	9620	6055	£65,442.00	£49,297.50	0.25	£5,791.43	£50,517.43	1477.41	£6,791.43	£50,517.43	0	£0.00	£-14,924.57	£4,209.79	
Kingslone & Thrupton Primary	2410	1320	£18,047.00	£13,023.75	0.21	£1,079.80	£12,911.80	275.46	£1,079.80	£12,911.80	0	£0.00	£-5,135.20	£1,075.98	
Kington Primary	4810	4690	£32,721.00	£39,060.00	0.19	£3,577.06	£39,021.06	912.52	£3,577.06	£39,021.06	1	£6,300.06	£0.00	£3,251.75	
Ledbury Primary	9620	9210	£65,442.00	£72,960.00	0.14	£5,048.95	£71,228.95	1288.00	£5,048.95	£71,228.95	1	£5,786.95	£0.00	£5,935.75	
Leominster Infants'	9620	8990	£65,442.00	£71,310.00	0.33	£11,745.94	£76,429.94	2996.41	£11,745.94	£76,429.94	1	£10,987.94	£0.00	£6,369.16	
Ross-on-Wye, Ashfield Park Primary	9620	6750	£65,442.00	£54,510.00	0.13	£3,375.54	£52,827.54	861.11	£3,375.54	£52,827.54	0	£0.00	£-12,614.46	£4,402.29	
Weobley Primary	4810	2925	£32,721.00	£28,822.50	0.17	£1,844.25	£26,286.25	470.47	£1,844.25	£26,286.25	0	£0.00	£-7,434.75	£2,107.19	
Withington Primary	4810	4015	£32,721.00	£33,997.50	0.11	£1,716.29	£32,570.29	420.06	£1,681.91	£32,536.91	0	£0.00	£-185.09	£2,711.33	
Hereford, Riverside Primary	9620	6260	£65,442.00	£50,835.00	0.32	£7,735.61	£53,855.61	1973.37	£7,735.61	£53,855.61	0	£0.00	£-11,586.39	£4,487.97	

Grand Totals	100300	389886	£3,714,129.00	£3,799,066.88	0.12	£267,135.88	£3,716,279.88	62478.15	£264,743.98	£3,713,887.98	91	£143,480.51	£-143,721.54	£309,490.66
LA nursery	5										5	£40,608.57	£-85,763.72	£53,412.82

Notes:
 Sparklers Nursery; Holmer Pre-School & Down on the Farm = New settings claiming funding for first time in Autumn 2007
 Platos & Helen Rees Childminder = New settings claiming funding for first time in Summer 2008
 Sandra Bufton = Setting still claiming funding - 0 sessions equals nil return
 Garway Playgroup = Setting temporarily closed Spring 2008, re-opened at half-term Summer 2008 (any funding due to be paid in Autumn 2008)
 Weobley Share Nursery = Setting stopped claiming funding and considered closed from Autumn 2007
 Moreton Moles Pre-School = Setting closed end of Autumn term 2007
 Happy Hands & The Farm Childcare (Step by Step) = Settings closed at end of Summer term 2007
 Trinity Teds Playgroup = Setting closed at end of Summer term 2007, replaced by Holmer Pre-School